IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
Writ Petition No.810 of 1996

Govind Rama Dusar & anr. Petitioners
Vs.
Sadashiv Krishnaji Gadgil & ors. Respondents

Mr.S.G.Karandikar for petitioners.
Mr.S.M.Railkar for respondents 1 to 8.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.
June 05, 2006.

P.C.

. Heard Mr.Karandikar, the learned counsel for the petitioners. There is no case made out to cause interference in the concurrent findings recorded by the lower authorities below i.e. SDO, Ratnagiri as well as the MRT.

. Mr.Karandikar states that Section 4 of the Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1948 has been amended by Maharastra Act 10 of 2001 and he may have an appropriate alternative remedy to claim his rights on the basis of the amended sub-section 2 therein.

. The writ petition is hereby rejected summarily and this order may not come in the way of the petitioners in exercising their independent rights on the basis of Section 4(2) of the Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1948.

(B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.)